Metro Road Centerlines Collaborative: In-Person Work Session
Metro County Government Center, Room 205
2099 University Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55418
Thursday, May 31, 2018: 11 am – 2 pm

2018 In-Person Work Session Agenda

1 – Welcome/Introductions
1a) Revision/Approval of Today's Agenda

2 – Quick Re-Cap of the Status of the MRCC Effort (Maas, 10 minutes)

2a) Discussion of Current Status of MRCC
- Validation/aggregation/publishing data on the Commons/MRCC as service;
- Are there any gaps in our process that need attention?

2b) Any outstanding issues specifically related to the MRCC?

3 – MRCC Best Practices Guide (Group, 5 minutes)
- Comments, Changes, Improvements, Edits?
- If none, approve as Version 1.0, put up on *metrogis.org* as a resource

4 – Minnesota (Statewide) Road Centerline Standard (MRCS v. 0.5) Update (Maas, 5 minutes)

4a) Status of MRCS v. 0.5 (under review until June 8, 2018)
- Current status of statewide road centerline standard

4b) Overview of comments coming in on it so far
- Run-down of the general comments coming in on the MRCS v. 0.5

5 – Metro Address Point Data Integration Update and Discussion (Hoekenga, 10-15 minutes)
5a) Schema and resources available
5b) Status/Timeline Check-In of each contributing County
5c) Proposed Validation Discussion
- Field schema: lengths, names, additional, missing
- Records against domains
- Projection
- Extra leading, trailing internal spaces
- Nulls or none where standard requires record
- Empty geometry
- Duplicates (geometry & entire record)
- Mixed case check

6 – Isanti, Chisago and Sherburne County Data Integration Update (Broman, 10 minutes)

<<< Lunch Break (Pizza + beverages provided) >>>

7 – 9-1-1 Metro Regional Data Viewer Project Proposal (Barnett/Broman/Maas, 15 minutes)
- o Web based data viewer resource for non-GIS enabled 911 stakeholders being proposed as a MetroGIS project at the June 7 Coordinating Committee meeting;

8 – NextGen9-1-1 Data Integration Discussion (Broman/Barnett/Group - Remainder of Session)
- o Discussion on specific topics referring toward next steps toward NextGen9-1-1 usage;

8a) Data Along County Boundary Review
- o Ensuring OOJ attribution is assigned correctly along segments forming county boundaries;
- o Does the Best Practices Document provide enough information and guidance to participants to attribute the data?

8b) City-County Duplicative Data Reporting
- o When cities stretch across county boundaries (e.g. Chanhassen is partially in both Carver and Hennepin Counties), there is a potential for data from both counties to be carried in the final dataset; how can an agreement or calibration of the validation be explored to minimize and solve this?

8c) Recognition and documentation of Special and Unique Cases
- o Documenting and cataloging boundary/address/road anomalies for future reference;
- o Stakeholders in each anomalous case willingness to adapt their data accordingly;
- o Compilation of a reference atlas of these unique cases and how they are treated to assist future partners/Greater Minnesota partners/NextGen9-1-1 work;

8d) Maintenance of ESN/MSAG/PSAP boundaries
- o Overview of how the ESN/MSAG/PSAP boundaries are to be managed and maintained moving forward;

8e) Capture of areas outside/adjacent to the metropolitan region
- o Discussion of how county partners are presently carrying areas outside the metro to meet their needs;
- o How this 'surplus' data can be carried, maintained and used in the MRCC/NextGen9-1-1 initiatives;

9 – Other Business

10 – Adjourn